

FORM PTO-1390  
(REV 10-2000)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

P/3653-7

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES  
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)  
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/890487

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.  
PCT/AU00/00058

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE  
2 February 2000

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED  
2 February 1999

TITLE OF INVENTION

A REFLUX CLASSIFIER

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Kevin Patrick GALVIN

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to promptly begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)).
4. ☒ The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (PCT Article 31).
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
  - a. ☐ is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☒ has been communicated by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☐ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been communicated by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11 to 16 below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.  
☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information:

Print EFS Form  
Intl. Search REport  
5 Drawing Sheets (Figs. 1-5)  
Inventors Designation Sheet  
Copy of Intl. Preliminary  
Examination Report

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express  
Mail Post Office to Addresses (mail label  
**EL855845701US** in an envelope addressed to:  
Asst. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,  
on **July 31, 2001**.


Dorothy Jenkins

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

*Dorothy Jenkins*  
Signature

July 31, 2001

Date of Signature

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5)		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER	
09/890487		PCT/AU00/00058		P/3653-7	
17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: <b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1) - (5)):</b> Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$1000.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$710.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$690.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$100.00 <b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				\$	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total claims	10 - 20 =	0	X \$18.00	\$	
Independent claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ \$270.00	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$	1,000.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>				\$	1,000.00
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>				\$	1,000.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property				\$	
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>				\$	1,000.00
				Amount to be refunded:	\$
				charged:	\$
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ <u>1,000.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed. <b>Check No. 5824</b>					
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>15-0700</u> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
<b>NOTE:</b> Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: <b>OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB &amp; SOFFEN, LLP</b> 1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8403  Tel: (212) 382 0700					
				 SIGNATURE:	
				<b>Robert C. Faber</b> NAME	
				<u>24,322</u> REGISTRATION NUMBER	

# INVENTOR INFORMATION

Inventor One Given Name:: Kevin P  
Family Name:: Galvin  
Postal Address Line One:: Univ. of Newcastle Research Assoc. Ltd.  
Postal Address Line Two:: Industry Development Centre-University Dr  
City:: Callaghan  
State or Province:: New South Wales  
Country:: Australia  
Postal or Zip Code:: 2308  
City of Residence:: New South Wales  
Country of Residence:: Australia  
Citizenship Country:: Australia

## CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Correspondence Customer Number:: 000002352  
Electronic Mail One:: email@ostrolenk.com

## APPLICATION INFORMATION

Title Line One:: A REFLUX CLASSIFIER  
Total Drawing Sheets:: 5  
Formal Drawings?: Yes  
Application Type:: Utility  
Docket Number:: P/3653-7  
Secrecy Order in Parent Appl.?: No

## PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATIONS

Foreign Application One:: PP 8481  
Filing Date:: 02-02-1999  
Country:: Australia  
Priority Claimed:: Yes

Source:: PrintEFS Version 1.0.1

P/3653-7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Kevin Patrick GALVIN

Date: July 31, 2001

Serial No.:

Group Art Unit:

Filed:

Examiner:

For: A REFLUX CLASSIFIER

Asst. Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT/SUBMISSION

Prior to examination, please amend the application as follows.

FEE CALCULATION

Any additional fee required has been calculated as follows:

\_\_\_\_\_ If checked, "Small Entity" status is claimed.

	NO. CLAIMS AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		EXTRA PRESENT		RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	10	MINUS	20	* =	0	X	(\$9 SE or \$18)	\$
INDEP.	2	MINUS	3	** =	0	X	(\$40 SE or \$80)	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						X	(\$135 SE or \$270)	\$

\* not less than 20 \*\* not less than 3

TOTAL \$ -----

If any additional payment is required, a check which includes the calculated fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
(OFGS Check No. \_\_\_\_\_) is attached.

In the event the actual fee is greater than the payment submitted or is inadvertently not enclosed or if any additional fee during the prosecution of this application is not paid, the Patent Office is authorized to charge the underpayment to Deposit Account No. 15-0700.

## CONTINGENT EXTENSION REQUEST

If this communication is filed after the shortened statutory time period had elapsed and no separate Petition is enclosed, the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is petitioned, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), to extend the time for filing a response to the outstanding Office Action by the number of months which will avoid abandonment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.135. The fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 should be charged to our Deposit Account No. 15-0700.

## AMENDMENTS

☒ If checked, amendment(s) to the specification and/or claims are submitted herewith.

1. ☐ If checked, an abstract is submitted as the last page of Appendix A.

### 2. Specification:

Please delete the paragraph(s)/section(s) beginning at page, and replace such paragraph(s)/section(s) pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(ii) with the “clean” version attached hereto as Appendix A. Entry is respectfully requested. A version with markings to show the changes made pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(iii) is attached hereto as Appendix B.

### 3. Claims:

Please cancel claim 4 without prejudice.

Please amend claims 3, 5, 7, 8 and 11 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(i) as set forth in the “clean” version attached hereto as Appendix A. Entry is respectfully requested. A version with markings to show the changes made pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(ii) is attached hereto as Appendix B.

☐ If checked, the optional complete set of “clean” claims pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(3) is attached hereto as Appendix C.

## REMARKS/ARGUMENT


This Preliminary Amendment is being submitted to change the multiple dependent claims to single dependent claims in order to eliminate the improper multiple dependent claims and to reduce the government filing fee.

### EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail to Addressee (mail label # EL855845701USan envelope addressed to: Asst. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on July 31, 2001:

Dorothy Jenkins

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence




Signature

July 31, 2001

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF/jc

**APPENDIX A**  
**“CLEAN” VERSION OF EACH PARAGRAPH/SECTION/CLAIM**  
**37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(ii) AND (c)(i)**

**CLAIMS (with indication of amended or new):**

(Amended) 3. A classifier as claimed in claim 2 wherein said array of inclined plates comprises an array of parallel equally spaced plates extending across said fluidization chamber.

(Amended) 5. A classifier according to claim 2 wherein two or more arrays of inclined plates are provided, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other array, and dividing the fluidization chamber into zones.

(Amended) 7. A classifier as claimed in claim 2 wherein a feed fluid incorporating particles to be classified is fed into the fluidization chamber between two said arrays of inclined plates.

(Amended) 8. A classifier as claimed in claim 1 wherein the particles are fed into the fluidization chamber with the fluidization fluid.

(Amended) 11. A method as claimed in claim 9 wherein said fluidized bed is provided with fluidization fluid at a predetermined rate so as to achieve desired separation of sizes or densities above and below said inclined plates, in combination with selected sizes, inclination and spacings of said inclined plates.

**APPENDIX B**  
**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**  
**37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(iii) AND (c)(ii)**

**CLAIMS:**

3. A classifier as claimed in claim 2 wherein said array of inclined plates comprises an array of parallel equally spaced plates extending across said fluidization chamber.

5. A classifier according to [any one of claims 2 to 4] claim 2 wherein two or more arrays of inclined plates are provided, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other array, and dividing the fluidization chamber into zones.

7. A classifier as claimed in [any one of claims 2 to 6] claim 2 wherein a feed fluid incorporating particles to be classified is fed into the fluidization chamber between two said arrays of inclined plates.

8. A classifier as claimed in [any one of claims 1 to 6] claim 1 wherein the particles are fed into the fluidization chamber with the fluidization fluid.

11. A method as claimed in [either claim 9 or claim 10] claim 9 wherein said fluidized bed is provided with fluidization fluid at a predetermined rate so as to achieve desired separation of sizes or densities above and below said inclined plates, in combination with selected sizes, inclination and spacings of said inclined plates.



**TITLE: A REFLUX CLASSIFIER****TECHNICAL FIELD**

The present invention relates generally to a method or an apparatus for segregating or classifying particles. The invention relates particularly, though not exclusively, to a fluidized multistage lamellae classifier, particle fractionator or reflux classifier, being  
5 designed for segregating particles according to size or density.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

In many industrial processes it is necessary to classify particles according to their size, and sometimes according to their density. For example, in mineral processing,  
10 screens, cyclones, and elutriators are often used to sort particles prior to downstream beneficiation. Classification may proceed either in a wet or a dry state. Although the aim may be to separate the particles at a specific size, there is usually a high degree of so-called misplaced material, with a portion of coarse particles reporting with the fine particle stream. An "S" shape partition curve provides a measure of the probability of a  
15 given particle size reporting to a given stream, and hence the efficiency of the separation.

In principle, sieves should provide the perfect separation, given that a particle will only pass through the sieve if it is smaller than the openings. However, if the particles are not given sufficient time on the sieve a poor separation will be achieved. Relatively fine particles, less than 45  $\mu$ m in diameter, readily adhere to other particles, and are  
20 therefore difficult to separate using sieves. Sieves also tend to become blinded by particles which are similar in size to the openings, and operate poorly when particles are fed on a continuous basis.

Elutriators separate particles according to their settling velocity. If the particles are of the same density, then the separation proceeds in accordance with the particle size. A liquid passes up through the vessel at a specific velocity, carrying slower settling particles to the top, thus allowing faster moving particles to be withdrawn from near the  
5 base of the vessel. However, elutriators fail to provide satisfactory throughputs, especially when the separation size is relatively small. On the other hand cyclones provide remarkably high throughputs although their efficiency is arguably poorer, and the separation size more difficult to control.

Inclined classifiers have the potential to offer satisfactory throughputs, and  
10 efficient separations. Earlier this century Boycott (1920) found that the effective rate of sedimentation could be increased significantly by inclining a vessel. Lamellae thickeners, which are gravity settlers containing parallel plates, are now used in solid liquid separation. However with these known systems there is no attempt to classify particles, only to remove all particles from much of the liquid. Basically the solids settle  
15 onto the plates once only and move downwards as a concentrate. In laboratories, inclined devices have also been used to classify particles according to both size and density.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a classifier for  
20 segregating particles by size or density, said classifier comprising:

a fluidization chamber adapted to contain a fluidized bed;

fluidization means adapted to provide a flow of fluidization fluid into the fluidization chamber; and

one or more inclined plates mounted within the fluidization chamber positioned such that in use, particles elutriated by the fluidization fluid within the chamber are caused to be segregated above or below the plates according to their size or density.

Preferably, said one or more inclined plates comprises at least one array of inclined  
5 plates.

Preferably, said array of inclined plates comprises an array of parallel equally spaced plates.

Preferably, said array of plates extends substantially horizontally across said fluidization chamber.

10 Preferably, two or more arrays of inclined plates are provided, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other array, and dividing the fluidization chamber into zones.

Preferably, the length of each plate in an array, the angle of inclination of the plates, and the spacing between plates in that array are selected to enable particles of a  
15 predetermined size or density to pass through the array when elutriated at a predetermined rate by the fluidization fluid, while inhibiting particles of greater size or density from passing through the array.

In one form of the invention a feed fluid incorporating particles to be classified is fed into the fluidization chamber between two said arrays of inclined plates.

20 In another form of the invention the particles are fed into the fluidization chamber with the fluidization fluid.

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of classifying particles by size or density, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a fluidized bed within a fluidization chamber in which is positioned one or more inclined plates;

feeding the particles into the fluidized bed; and

withdrawing particles from the chamber at one or more predetermined locations.

- 5      Preferably, the chamber is provided with two or more arrays of said inclined plates, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other array thereby dividing the fluidization chamber into zones, and wherein the withdrawal of particles from the chamber comprises withdrawal from a selected one or more of said zones.

- 10      Preferably, said fluidized bed is provided with fluidization fluid at a predetermined rate so as to achieve desired separation of sizes or densities above and below said inclined plates in combination with selected sizes, inclination and spacings of said inclined plates.

- 15      It is understood that increased particle segregation is largely produced through the use of the inclined plates and efficient classification achieved by the reflux effect of the fluidization fluid whereby said fluid can repeatedly fluidize into the plates particles of a certain size and/or density.

Generally the particles and vast majority of the fluidization fluid are introduced to the fluidization chamber as separate streams. Alternatively the particles are incorporated in the fluidization fluid which may for example be in the form of a slurry.

- 20      Each array of parallel, equally spaced plates forms a lamellae which acts as an inclined hydraulic screen causing the particles of different size or density ranges to locate into different zones within the chamber.

Typically there are provided a plurality of lamellae within the housing or fluidization chamber. In one embodiment the plates of adjacent lamellae stages are, in the direction of flow of the fluidization fluid, oriented differently whereby progressively slower settling particles pass each adjacent stage. For example, the plates may be longer, 5 closer together and/or less steep in order to achieve this effect. In another embodiment the configuration of plates of adjacent stages is substantially the same for greater refinement of the separation process. It should be appreciated that other permutations of lamella plate sequences and arrangements are within the scope of the present invention.

Typically, there is provided an uppermost lamellae designed to prevent particles 10 from escaping the fluidization chamber together with much of the fluidization fluid. Thus, relatively fine particles can be removed or classified in a more concentrated form from beneath the uppermost lamellae.

It is believed that the system has the advantage of self-control. An increase in the feed rate does not require a change in the underflow rate to preserve the separation 15 condition. Keeping the underflow rate constant will simply cause the suspension concentration to rise, which automatically changes the underflow solids rate to the right steady state level. The change in hindered settling will cause a slight change in the separation size, but this change will be substantially less than the change in the feed rate. The separation size for a given device is altered simply by changing the fluidization 20 velocity.

A further advantage is the ease of underflow removal because of the high rate of fluidization made possible by the control achieved by the lamellae. Hence all particles (including those in the underflow) tend to be in a state of suspension.

Preferably the fluidization chamber or classifier housing is elongate and shaped generally square or cylindrical in cross section with the stages of lamellae plates spaced longitudinally along its length. More preferably the fluidization chamber or classifier housing is oriented upright with the fluidization fluid flowing generally upward. The cross sectional area of the chamber may vary from one stage to the next to alter the relative separation size.

Generally the plates are inclined. Additionally or alternatively the fluidization chamber may be inclined, or parts of the chamber may be inclined to follow the overall shape of the various stages of lamellae plates.

Advantageously, the step of passing the fluidization fluid through the classifier can involve alteration of the fluidization rate to promote segregation of the particles largely on the basis of density.

In one form of the invention the method or apparatus for segregating or classifying particles is performed as a batch operation. Alternatively the method or apparatus is operated in a continuous mode whereby the particles are continuously or intermittently added to the fluidization chamber or the classifier housing. In this case, the feed is separated into an underflow stream and, at least, an overflow stream.

Generally the fluidization fluid is water or another liquid. Alternatively the fluidization fluid is a gas. The particles may be provided dry, or wet as a slurry.

The term "particles" is to be understood to include solids, liquid droplets, and air or other gas bubbles.

Suspension removed from specific zones between sets of parallel plates will contain particles of a narrow size range. The system can be operated as a particle

fractionator in the batch or continuous mode, separating a feed into multiple size fractions.

In order to achieve a better understanding of the nature of the present invention several preferred embodiments of an apparatus for and a method of segregating or classifying particles will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a diagrammatic representation of a known single layer inclined classifier;

10 Figure 2 is a diagrammatic vertical cross-section through one embodiment of a particle classifier according to the present invention operating in a batch mode;

Figure 3 is a diagrammatic vertical cross-section through another embodiment of a particle classifier according to the present invention operating in a continuous mode;

15 Figure 4 is a diagrammatic vertical cross-section through an embodiment with a varying cross-section fluidization chamber; and

Figure 5 is a diagrammatic vertical cross-section through an embodiment where chamber shape follows the lamellae.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

By way of background theory a simple kinematic description of solids or particle classification in an inclined device will now be described. A schematic representation of a single plate inclined classifier is shown in Figure 1. Feed solids or particles may enter near the base, producing fine solids or particles in the overflow, and course solids or particles in the underflow. The trajectory of a critical size particle which reports both to

the underflow and the overflow is shown. The solid particle gradually settles towards the incline as it is carried upwards. Finer solids or particles will report to the overflow, and coarser solids or particles will slide down the incline to the underflow.

The fluid moves through the device at a velocity  $U$ , and hence arrives in a time,

- 5  $t=L/U$ , where  $L$  is the plate length. During this time the solid particle moves at a velocity  $V\cos\theta$  normal to the incline, where  $V$  is the usual particle velocity, and  $\theta$  is the angle with the horizontal. Assuming a width,  $W$ , between the plates, then

$$V\cos\theta = W/t = WU/L$$

Therefore, the critical particle velocity is,

10  $V = WU / (L\cos\theta)$

- The value of  $V$ , at a given suspension concentration depends on the particle size, assuming the solid particle density is constant. This equation provides a basis for selecting the lamellae width, length and angle. Ideally, the angle should be about 60 degrees. Higher angles will not amplify the segregation as much. Lower angles may
- 15 lead to build up of solids or particles on the incline.

Since the particle of velocity  $V$  can segregate against a fluid of velocity  $U$ , the sedimentation enhancement is given by the ratio of  $U/V$ . That is,

$$U/V = L\cos\theta/W$$

- and hence the increase in settling rate is given by the ratio of the projected settling area
- 20 to the cross-sectional flow area. By inclining a vessel with a long length  $L$  and narrow width  $W$  significant rates of separation can be obtained. A lamellae consisting of many plates separated by a narrow gap  $W$  has the potential to increase throughputs many fold.



A schematic representation of one embodiment of a particle classifier 10 operated in a batch mode is shown in Figure 2. When the bed is fluidized by introducing a fluidization fluid at 11, solids or particles move upwards into arrays of plate lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C, and settle out on to the respective inclined plates 14A, 14B or 14C.

5 The plate lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C are mounted within a fluidization chamber 16 which in this example is elongate and of a generally square cross-section. The finest grade of particle, however, passes up through. Some of the fine particles may deposit onto the inclined plates 14A, 14B or 14C and hence slide back down into a fluidized zone below the lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C. In time, these particles will again move up

10 into the lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C and hence be given a second, third or subsequent chance to escape into the region above the lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C and hence the classifier operates as a reflux classifier. The fluidization process, therefore, helps to strip the suspension of the fine particles. The inclusion of the plate lamellae 12A, 12B or 12C into the fluidization chamber such as 16 to enhance segregation is an important feature

15 of the invention. A common fluid velocity up through each of the channels formed between plates in a given lamellae is produced automatically because of the steady flow of the fluidization fluid, in this example water. This common velocity is important for achieving a sharp separation.

Once the particles move beyond the first stage of the plate lamellae 12A they then

20 pass through a second lamellae 12B. This lamellae 12B consists, ideally, of longer plates 14B. The plates may also be oriented closer together or inclined with less of a gradient. Therefore, only the finest of the fine particles are capable of passing through the second stage 12B. Again, if these fine particles fail to pass through on the first

attempt, additional opportunities will be possible due largely to the reflux effect.

Additional lamellae may also be positioned above, such as 12C, with zones in between which are free of lamellae. For example the final or uppermost lamellae, in this case 12C, can be employed to prevent ultrafine particles from escaping the fluidization

5 chamber with the fluidization fluid.

The batch system will eventually produce a steady condition, with the finest particles trapped in the upper zones, and gradually coarser particles trapped in zones closer to the base. If the plate lamellae 12A, 12B and 12C were not used in the classifier 10, some segregation would occur. However, the applicant has observed that particles covering a broad range of size tend to remain partially mixed in a conventional fluidized bed. Hence, in the absence of the plate lamellae a sharp separation may be difficult to achieve.

A schematic representation of another embodiment of the particle classifier operating in a continuous mode is shown at 110 in Figure 3. The particle classifier is 15 readily operated in a continuous fashion by providing a fluidization flow at 115 and pumping a particle feed slurry into an external feed well 180. An internal feed well may be preferred, but may be more difficult to incorporate because of the plate lamellae. The feed well 180 provides a means for disengaging unwanted entities such as air bubbles from the feed slurry. The feed slurry then ideally plunges into the middle zone 100 of the classifier. In this embodiment plate lamellae 120C may be located above the feed 20 entry position and a second stage lamellae 120B may be located below the feed entry position. For further refinement, additional lamellae such as 120A and 120D may be

used either side of the feed entry position. A lamellae free zone should exist between each set of lamellae 120A, B, C, and D. These zones classify via the reflux mechanism.

At the top of the fluidizing chamber 160 liquid containing the finer particles spill over into a launder (not shown) or are withdrawn via an outlet 200 for recovery in a separate vessel (not shown). Alternatively the solids leave in a more concentrated form at outlet 300 from the zone between 120C and 120D. The coarser particles suspended near the base are pumped away to another vessel (not depicted) via outlet 400. The separation size is governed by the fluidization rate, together with the plate length, L, angle,  $\theta$ , and width, W, used in the lamellae.

10 In general, an increase in the suspension concentration below the plates results in higher concentrations within the plates, and hence segregation on the basis of density within the plates. With such segregation, it is possible to use the device to separate particles on the basis of density. Normally, the lower density particles will report to the overflow, and the higher density particles will report to the underflow. One way to  
15 achieve a higher concentration and hence promote this mode of operation is to operate using a relatively low underflow concentration. In turn, the system naturally produces higher concentrations. The higher concentrations could also be achieved using lower fluidization velocities. Therefore, the device can also be used to separate particles on the basis of density.

20 It will be appreciated that solids or particles which are close to the separation condition have many opportunities to report to the correct position within the classifier such as 10 or 110. Further, the existence of plate lamellae such as 12 or 120 effectively amplifies the differences in particle velocities.

Although the fluidization chamber has been described in the embodiments above as typically square in cross-section and of constant cross-section throughout its height, it is also possible to vary the shape and cross-section of the chamber in order to provide additional control. For example, in Figure 4 there is shown a fluidization chamber 500 in which three arrays of lamellae 501, 502 and 503 are positioned in areas of the fluidization chamber 504 having differing cross-sections. By controlling the cross-section in this manner, the fluidization rate through each set of lamellae may be individually controlled even though there is a common fluidization rate supplied at 505 at the bottom of the chamber.

10 Figure 5 illustrates a further embodiment in which the fluidization chamber 600 incorporating arrays of lamellae 601 and 602 has angled side walls in the regions corresponding with the lamellae. For example the side walls in region 603 are angled to conform with the angle of inclination of the plates in lamellae 601, and similarly the side walls in region 604 are angled to correspond with the angle of inclination in lamellae

15 602. It is preferred that the zones 605 between lamellae remain with substantially vertical side walls. This configuration is particularly advantageous in reducing or eliminating any "dead" areas at either end of the lamellae between the inclined plates and the chamber walls.

The classifier and method of particle segregation or classification is suitable for feeds containing particles up to about 5 mm in diameter although larger particles could also be used. Ultrafine particles, less than 45  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter also separate effectively, assuming colloidal aggregation is not a problem. Further it is possible to classify particles into different distinct fractions using either batch or continuous conditions. Fur

ultrafine particles, this is especially attractive. Hence the classifier and method of classification provide an excellent alternative to a conventional cyclosizer.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention described herein is susceptible to variations and modifications other than those specifically described. The classifier including a fluidization chamber may be of practically any configuration where essentially it operates as an elutriator or fluidized bed with the presence of one or more inclined plates typically arranged in one or more sets of lamellae. The method of segregating or classifying particles may also extend to the following applications:

- i) the classification of particles less dense than the fluidization fluid where the described system operates in reverse with the fluidization fluid flowing downwards and the particles settling upwards;
- ii) gas fluidization of relatively fine particles;
- iii) the segregation or classification of liquid droplets or air bubbles such as that required in the draining of a foam in foam fractionation.

All such variations and modifications are to be considered within the scope of the present invention the nature of which is to be determined from the foregoing description.

## CLAIMS:

1. A classifier for segregating particles by size or density, said classifier comprising:  
a fluidization chamber adapted to contain a fluidized bed;  
fluidization means adapted to provide a flow of fluidization fluid into the  
5 fluidization chamber; and  
one or more inclined plates mounted within the fluidization chamber positioned  
such that in use, particles elutriated by the fluidization fluid within the chamber are  
caused to be segregated above or below the plates according to their size or density.
2. A classifier as claimed in claim 1 wherein said one or more inclined plates  
10 comprises at least one array of inclined plates.
3. A classifier as claimed in claim 2 wherein said array of inclined plates comprises  
an array of parallel equally spaced plates.
4. A classifier as claimed in either claim 2 or claim 3 wherein said array of plates  
extends across said fluidization chamber.
- 15 5. A classifier according to any one of claims 2 to 4 wherein two or more arrays of  
inclined plates are provided, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other  
array, and dividing the fluidization chamber into zones.
6. A classifier as claimed in claim 5 wherein the length of each plate in an array, the  
angle of inclination of the plates, and the spacing between plates in that array are  
20 selected to enable particles of a predetermined size or density to pass through the array  
when elutriated at a predetermined rate by the fluidization fluid, while inhibiting  
particles of greater size or density from passing through the array.

- 15 -

7. A classifier as claimed in any one of claims 2 to 6 wherein a feed fluid incorporating particles to be classified is fed into the fluidization chamber between two said arrays of inclined plates.
8. A classifier as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the particles are fed into the fluidization chamber with the fluidization fluid.
9. A method of classifying particles by size or density, said method comprising the steps of:
- providing a fluidized bed within a fluidization chamber in which is positioned one or more inclined plates; and
  - feeding the particles into the fluidized bed and withdrawing particles from the chamber at one or more predetermined locations.
10. A method as claimed in claim 9 wherein the chamber is provided with two or more arrays of said inclined plates, each array being vertically spaced from the or each other array thereby dividing the fluidization chamber into zones, and wherein the withdrawal of particles from the chamber comprises withdrawal from a selected one or more of said zones.
11. A method as claimed in either claim 9 or claim 10 wherein said fluidized bed is provided with fluidization fluid at a predetermined rate so as to achieve desired separation of sizes or densities above and below said inclined plates, in combination with selected sizes, inclination and spacings of said inclined plates.

1/5






FIG. 1




2/5

FIG 2


3/5

FIG 3

4/5

FIG 4

5/5

FIG. 5

#20

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION		OFGS FILE NO. P/3653-7																																																					
<p>As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:</p> <p><b>A REFLUX CLASSIFIER</b></p>																																																							
<p>the specification of which is attached hereto, unless the following box is checked:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> was filed on <u>2 February 2000</u> as United States patent Application Number or PCT International patent application number <u>PCT/AU00/00058</u> and was amended on _____ (if any).</p> <p>I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.</p> <p>I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.</p> <p>I hereby claim priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or United States provisional application(s) listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:</p> <p>Prior Foreign or Provisional Application(s)</p> <table border="1" style="width:100%"><thead><tr><th>COUNTRY</th><th>APPLICATION NUMBER</th><th>DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)</th><th>PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Australia</td><td>PP 8481</td><td>2 February 1999</td><td>YES <u>X</u> NO ____</td></tr><tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>YES ____ NO ____</td></tr><tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>YES ____ NO ____</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.</p> <table border="1" style="width:100%"><thead><tr><th>UNITED STATES APPLICATION NUMBER</th><th>DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)</th><th>STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr><tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr><tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr></tbody></table> <p>I hereby appoint customer no. 2352 OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB &amp; SOFFEN, LLP, and the members of the firm, Samuel H. Weiner - Reg. No. 18,510; Jerome M. Berliner - Reg. No. 18,653; Robert C. Faber - Reg. No. 24,322; Edward A. Meilman - Reg. No. 24,735; Steven I. Weisburd - Reg. No. 27,409; Max Moskowitz - Reg. No. 30,576; Stephen A. Soffen - Reg. No. 31,063; James A. Finder - Reg. No. 30,173; William O. Gray, III - Reg. No. 30,944; Louis C. Dujmich - Reg. No. 30,625; Douglas A. Miro - Reg. No. 31,643, and Michael J. Scheer - Reg. No. 34,425, as attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation to prosecute this application, to transact all business in the Patent &amp; Trademark Office connected therewith and to receive all correspondence.</p> <p>SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO: <b>OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB &amp; SOFFEN, LLP</b> DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO: <b>(212) 382-0700</b> 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036-8403 CUSTOMER NO. <u>2352</u></p> <p>I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.</p> <table border="1" style="width:100%"><tr><td colspan="2">FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR <u>Kevin Patrick GALVIN</u></td><td>INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Kevin Galvin</u></td><td>DATE <u>17th Sept. 2001</u></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country) <u>New South Wales 2308, Australia</u></td><td colspan="2">COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP <u>Australia</u></td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">POST OFFICE ADDRESS <u>c/o The University of Newcastle Research Associates Limited, Industry Development Centre, University Drive, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia</u></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR (IF ANY)</td><td>INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE</td><td>DATE</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country)</td><td colspan="2">COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">POST OFFICE ADDRESS</td></tr></table>				COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119	Australia	PP 8481	2 February 1999	YES <u>X</u> NO ____				YES ____ NO ____				YES ____ NO ____	UNITED STATES APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)										FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR <u>Kevin Patrick GALVIN</u>		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Kevin Galvin</u>	DATE <u>17th Sept. 2001</u>	RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country) <u>New South Wales 2308, Australia</u>		COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP <u>Australia</u>		POST OFFICE ADDRESS <u>c/o The University of Newcastle Research Associates Limited, Industry Development Centre, University Drive, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia</u>				FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR (IF ANY)		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE	RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country)		COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP		POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119																																																				
Australia	PP 8481	2 February 1999	YES <u>X</u> NO ____																																																				
			YES ____ NO ____																																																				
			YES ____ NO ____																																																				
UNITED STATES APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)																																																					
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR <u>Kevin Patrick GALVIN</u>		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Kevin Galvin</u>	DATE <u>17th Sept. 2001</u>																																																				
RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country) <u>New South Wales 2308, Australia</u>		COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP <u>Australia</u>																																																					
POST OFFICE ADDRESS <u>c/o The University of Newcastle Research Associates Limited, Industry Development Centre, University Drive, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia</u>																																																							
FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR (IF ANY)		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE																																																				
RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country)		COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP																																																					
POST OFFICE ADDRESS																																																							

☐ CONTINUED ON PAGE 2